

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,873	10/31/2003	Martin T. Gerber	P-8436.05US	2001	
<sup>27581</sup> MEDTRONIC,	7590 07/16/2007 INC		EXAMINER		
710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE		EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT			
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55432-9924		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3762		
	٠.				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	,		07/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,873	GERBER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George R. Evanisko	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	January 2007		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters.	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-21 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other	nal Patent Application	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brucker et al (5643197). Brucker includes a lead body, 22, with distal and proximal ring electrodes, 30, connector, 36/40, and a flexible mesh electrode (e.g. col 3, lines 52-62) with lattice framing windows (figure 15, column 8). Brucker is capable of meeting the functional use recitations presented in the claim, such as possessing sufficient mechanical flexibility and being of small diameter to be inserted through a foramen, since Brucker's lead is inserted through the veins, is flexible, and is a mesh electrode. In addition, Brucker states that his electrode has a length from 5 mm to 5 centimeters, which covers the claimed range of 10mm-38mm, but more specifically discloses with sufficient specificity that the electrode preferably has a length from 0.5 cm to 1.5 cm (i.e. 5 mm to 15 mm). Brucker shows in the figures, such as figure 1, 15, etc. that the lead/catheter body, 22, has a common outer diameter throughout its length. Note that element 34/24 is a handle and not part of the lead body. Finally, Brucker also states that the catheter can range from 4F to 12F (1.33 mm to 3 mm) and therefore also discloses with sufficient specificity a length in the claimed range of about 0.5 mm to "about 2 mm".

Art Unit: 3762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brucker.

Brucker discloses the use of multiple proximal and distal ring electrodes and conductors, except for the additional/third connector. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the lead as taught by Brucker, with an additional/third connector since it was known in the art that patient leads incorporate additional distal/proximal ring electrodes, conductors, and connectors to provide additional therapy or sensing to/from the patient using one lead instead of multiple leads.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/4/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The argument that Brucker does not disclose an electrode having a length in the

Art Unit: 3762

range from 10 mm to 38 mm is not persuasive since Brucker does disclose the electrode having this length (as discussed in the rejection above).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Evanisko whose telephone number is 571 272 4945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571 272 4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

GRE 7/8/07